

Health Care's Information Transformation: Lessons Learned

By Jerry M. Garcia, Lead Partner, Health & Life Sciences (Canada)

Global momentum is quickly building for the widespread adoption of electronic health records (EHRs) and related information technology (IT) to improve health care quality, safety and operating efficiencies. Support for this movement has taken hold in many parts of the world.

Provinces across Canada are at different stages in their EHR strategies and roll-outs plans. Recently, Ralph Klein has mentioned the growing importance of EHRs in Alberta. Recent news reports and quotes from [Canada Health Infoway](#) have stated that “Canada's short-term goal is to have an electronic health records system covering 50 percent of the population by 2009.”

Besides Canada, the United Kingdom, France, Italy and Singapore are well advanced into country-wide initiatives to build national electronic health record systems and other health care IT tools. More recently, the United States has begun its national EHR vision with the president and congressional leaders endorsing EHRs as a critical component of solutions aimed at dramatically enhancing care while reducing costs.

Despite the enormous opportunities, the effective use of IT in health care has been challenging for all involved. Some reports suggest that the success rate for clinical IT projects in actually achieving their stated objectives may be less than 50 percent.

For clinical IT to fulfill its potential of helping to transform health care, an understanding of success factors is critical. To that end, [Accenture recently collaborated with the Vanderbilt Center for Better Health to identify the critical success factors for clinical IT adoption](#). The study suggests a number of key strategies that appear to have a significant affects on the adoption of new technologies by clinicians.

The Seven “Secrets” of Successful Clinical Change

Vanderbilt researchers interviewed nearly 50 executives and clinicians at 22 hospitals and health systems in five countries, including three organizations from Canada, that are in the process of implementing clinical information systems such as EHRs, Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) and clinical decision support.

Perhaps the most important finding is that, regardless of the formal relationship to the institution, physician engagement and support is a critical variable in the overall success of an implementation.

Maybe not big news, we know. What is news from this research is how few people really do this well. But the research showed very clearly that the organizations who took this step into account

had a far greater likelihood of success than those who did not. Most actually went back to this idea, and the ones below, only when an implementation failed and they had to re-group. We also know that doing this right takes more time and effort, and therefore larger investment at the start, but experience suggests that it's more expensive to have an implementation fail after a year, than it is to start slowly.

From this insight, and the experiences and perspectives of other successful implementations, seven hard-won lessons for implementing clinical IT projects emerged from this research:

1. Alignment of Clinical and Administrative Leadership

First and foremost, clinical and executive leadership must agree on the goals and expected results of clinical IT projects. In fact, our research found that executives and clinicians are most likely to agree when a clinical IT initiative is presented as part of an overall strategy.

2. Effective, Early Engagement of Clinicians

Real and substantive involvement of the clinicians in early planning as well as throughout the project is essential. Clinicians expect their input to weigh heavily on the selection, design and deployment of the system. In choosing physician input, it makes strategic sense to enlist medical leaders who have influence over broad groups of their peers as champions for the project as well as IT-savvy physicians who may be more willing to act as early adopters.

3. Unique Relationship between Physician and the Institution

As the face of the medical institution to patients, physicians have an undeniably unique role. For example, they often bear the major burden in adopting EHRs, CPOE and other new IT systems. These systems often require physicians to make real changes to their ingrained work behaviors and practices if they are to accomplish safety and patient care objectives.

It's been well documented that attempts to mandate physician use of IT tools can lead to resentment, lack of trust and even behavior that undermines the IT initiative. Instead, leading organizations have begun focusing on ways to help physicians understand how the tools can improve their practice and patient outcomes. Many organizations reported that sharing information about the improved information access, ability to coordinate patient care and other benefits achieved by early adopters helped persuade many "wait-and-see" doctors to use the new technology.

4. Unwavering Commitment to Success

Leaders can champion a successful project by showing strong resolve and holding project managers accountable for progress and implementation deadlines. Institutional leaders that spent time at the outset identifying potential challenges and developing strategies for dealing with them fared better than their peers. In particular, leaders must emphasize that the clinical implementation project represents a strategic imperative for the organization and that there is no turning back.

5. Deploy To New Places When the Benefits Are Clear to Clinicians

Organizations have successfully used a variety of deployment approaches, from going live simultaneously across an entire institution to moving across units over time. Whatever the deployment strategy, however, many health systems did not implement the new system until a critical mass of clinical leaders in that specific unit, practice, service or facility agreed the technology would benefit the group. To win this critical mass of support, many organizations facilitated collaborative sessions between the administrative, IT leadership and the clinicians and only went ahead with the project when there was agreement that implementation would be a win for all parties.

6. Individualized Approaches to Training and Support

While some organizations started with classroom training for clinicians, virtually all 22 organizations in the study have migrated to individualized training and support. With severe time constraints, physicians respond better to training that adapts to their schedules. When several organizations began sending trainers to physicians for one-on-one help, their willingness to adopt the system rose dramatically. Moreover, using the actual system in real-life patient care settings improved the actual, as well as the “perceived,” effectiveness of the training.

7. Tight Feedback and Enhancement Cycles

The ability and commitment to gather and incorporate feedback from users after the initial roll-out are vital for continued clinical use of the system. Organizations that quickly enhanced technology based on the experiences of early adopters improved the system, its benefits and its credibility. Paying attention to the feedback clinicians provide on how to make the system produce even greater benefits for patients, clinicians and the institution is a key strategy for driving adoption as quickly as possible.

The innovation of technology offers the promise of better and more consistent patient care with fewer problems and costs. Regardless of the country where new technology is being implemented, the more of these critical success factors that a hospital can incorporate into a large-scale technology implementation, the more likely it will be to drive adoption and produce better outcomes for clinicians and patients.

Jerry M. Garcia is the partner leading the Health and Life Sciences unit in Canada, serving health care organizations, governments, and life science clients (pharmaceuticals, research and bio-medical). He has a personal mission to help improve health care in Canada.

Read our brochure [Achieving High Performance in Health care](#).

More information on our [Health & Life Sciences practice in Canada](#)

More information on our [global Health & Life Sciences practice](#).