

## The Primary-Specialty Care Interface in Chronic Diseases: Patient and Practice Characteristics Associated with Co-Management

Interrelation entre services de première ligne et soins spécialisés dans les cas de maladie chronique : caractéristiques des patients et des cliniques associées à la cogestion

JEAN-LOUIS LAROCHELLE, DEBBIE EHRMANN FELDMAN AND JEAN-FREDERIC LEVESQUE

### Appendix F. Contingency Table of Observed Counts (% in rows) between Practice-Level Variables ( $n = 33$ Practices)

		Practice arrangement				Remuneration mode		Role of nurse		Diagnostic/therapeutic procedures		Region	
		Solo	Other group practice	FMG	CHC/hospital-based	Fixed/mixed	FFS	None/conventional	Innovative	Low-moderate level	High level	Urban	Rural
Practice arrangement	Solo	–	–	–	–	<b>0</b>	<b>5 (100)</b>	<b>5 (100)</b>	<b>0</b>	4 (80.0)	<b>1 (20.0)</b>	<b>3 (60.0)</b>	<b>2 (40.0)</b>
	Other group practice	–	–	–	–	<b>0</b>	<b>11 (100)</b>	<b>10 (90.9)</b>	<b>1 (9.1)</b>	6 (54.5)	<b>5 (45.5)</b>	<b>9 (81.8)</b>	<b>2 (18.2)</b>
	FMG	–	–	–	–	<b>0</b>	<b>6 (100)</b>	<b>1 (16.7)</b>	<b>5 (83.3)</b>	3 (50.0)	<b>3 (50.0)</b>	<b>1 (16.7)</b>	<b>5 (83.3)</b>
	CHC/hospital-based	–	–	–	–	<b>11 (100)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2 (18.2)</b>	<b>9 (81.8)</b>	5 (45.5)	<b>6 (54.5)</b>	<b>9 (81.8)</b>	<b>2 (18.2)</b>
Remuneration mode	Fixed/mixed	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11 (100)</b>	–	–	<b>2 (18.2)</b>	<b>9 (81.8)</b>	5 (45.5)	6 (54.5)	9 (81.8)	2 (18.2)
	FFS	<b>5 (22.7)</b>	<b>11 (50.0)</b>	<b>6 (27.3)</b>	<b>0</b>	–	–	<b>16 (72.7)</b>	<b>6 (27.3)</b>	13 (59.1)	9 (40.9)	13 (59.1)	9 (40.9)
Role of nurse	None/conventional	<b>5 (27.8)</b>	<b>10 (55.6)</b>	<b>1 (5.6)</b>	<b>2 (11.1)</b>	<b>2 (11.1)</b>	<b>16 (88.9)</b>	–	–	10 (55.6)	8 (44.4)	12 (66.7)	6 (33.3)
	Innovative	<b>0</b>	<b>1 (6.7)</b>	<b>5 (33.3)</b>	<b>9 (60.0)</b>	<b>9 (60.0)</b>	<b>6 (40.0)</b>	–	–	8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	10 (66.7)	5 (33.3)

		Practice arrangement				Remuneration mode		Role of nurse		Diagnostic/therapeutic procedures		Region	
		Solo	Other group practice	FMG	CHC/hospital-based	Fixed/mixed	FFS	None/conventional	Innovative	Low-moderate level	High level	Urban	Rural
Diagnostic/therapeutic procedures	Low-moderate level	4 (22.2)	6 (33.3)	3 (16.7)	5 (27.8)	5 (27.8)	13 (72.2)	10 (55.6)	8 (44.4)	–	–	12 (66.7)	6 (33.3)
	High level	1 (6.7)	5 (33.3)	3 (20.0)	6 (40.0)	6 (40.0)	9 (60.0)	8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	–	–	10 (66.7)	5 (33.3)
Region	Urban	<b>3 (13.6)</b>	<b>9 (40.9)</b>	<b>1 (4.5)</b>	<b>9 (40.9)</b>	9 (40.9)	13 (59.1)	12 (54.5)	10 (45.5)	12 (54.5)	10 (45.5)	–	–
	Rural	<b>2 (18.2)</b>	<b>2 (18.2)</b>	<b>5 (45.5)</b>	<b>2 (18.2)</b>	2 (18.2)	8 (81.8)	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	–	–

FMG = family medicine group, CHC = community health centre, FFS = fee-for-service.

Bold indicates *p* value of association < 0.05 (chi-square or Fisher exact test).