Insight

The Chosen Path

Do leaders always know what they want to do, or do they find direction by mere accident? Is healthcare as a career choice their first love or a loved compromise? The editors of Healthcare Quarterly asked two such leaders: If they could start their career over again, is there another profession they would like to try? Why would they choose that field, and what fascinates them most about it?
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When I finished university in Britain in the early 1970s, I faced a clear “fork in the road” – an internship with the Independent Television Network in London and become a journalist, or a Commonwealth Scholarship to go to “the other London” in Canada and be a graduate student in psychology.
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I’ve always hankered to be a baseball writer. It’s like health services research, only the data are better, the outcomes are clearer and players pay attention to the findings. A lot of the time nothing important seems to be happening, but drama is just around the corner. The moments of tension are unsurpassed. The field is beautiful, with perfect dimensions. There is no clock, and there are no tie games.

Unlike healthcare, baseball has walked the talk of evidence-based decision-making. Player evaluations are now much more science than art. Salary negotiations typically involve detailed statistical analyses, adjusted to take into account park effects (grass or artificial turf, batter or pitcher friendly), era
With a newspaper editor father and a BBC journalist brother, the family gene was certainly strong and beckoning. Nevertheless, the allure of the colonies and the dismal state of Britain’s 1970s economy drew me to Canada, graduate school and eventually the challenge of organizing the unorganizable – health policy.

To this day, however, I find very attractive the task of taking complex and sometimes esoteric issues and accurately simplifying them for broader access. To the extent that health policy relies on the accurate translation of complex research into usable knowledge, I do a kind of journalism with our work at the Canadian Health Services Research Foundation. The communication part of knowledge transfer is, after all, just glorified science journalism.

But I also suffer from a short attention span. Thus, I look with some envy on journalism’s deadline imperative and its immediate feedback loop – write it today, and see it broadcast or published tomorrow. This excitement stands in sharp contrast to the months or, more commonly, years that under-pin the publication cycle of academia or the policy cycle of governments.

I have flirted with the field as an amateur journalist, doing occasional op-ed pieces for newspapers or interviews for broadcast media. On each occasion, I am struck by the discipline demanded by this kind of discourse. In less than the time it takes to drink a cup of coffee, you must make what you believe in compelling to a large and varied audience, and even motivate them to action. For all its shortcomings – and there are many – journalism remains the backbone of society’s most effective tool of public engagement – great storytelling.